### Outcome: Outcome targets for all child outcomes will be met or exceeded

**So that:** children served by Cluster G have increased opportunities to fully participate in family and peer activities.

### Strategy update from last quarter:
Compliance with the new scoring process continues to be excellent. The SPOE has had very few issues with timely submission of the exit data by providers. Assessment team providers report very few obvious issues with scoring quality. Responding provider agencies have reported that the process is generally going well. Most reported that providers are comfortable with the scoring tool and are reasonably confident in the accuracy of the scores in most cases. There were some concerns noted with scoring for children who have limited service before discharging as well as some issues with timelines (remembering when the form is due) and technology (accessing the form on Apple devices, saving and sending the form to other team members for input).

### Explanation of Data

**Quarterly Data:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>50.7% (below 55% target; 8% improvement from July-Sept 14)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>56.7% (within 1% of 57% target; 21% increase from July-Sept 14)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>59.0% (met 55% target; 8% increase from July-Sept 14)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>72.9% (met 72% target; 15% increase from July-Sept 14)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>46.2% (below 55% target; 17% decrease from July-Sept 14)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>66.3% (within 1% of 67% target; 4% decrease from July-Sept 14)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Not a full quarter

This is the first set of data to reflect the new scoring process implemented in September 2014. It should be noted that we typically receive data reports reflecting the fiscal year to date as opposed to having it broken out by months or quarters. For example, previous reports would have shown data from July-November 14, instead of July-September 14 and Oct-Nov 14. While it appears there was significant variance in the data this period compared to the previous quarter, we do not know if this is unusual or not as we don’t have data broken out this same way for previous years or reporting periods.

Based on the current data, targets have been met (within 1%) for 4 of the 6 indicators. Targets for A1 (children showing substantial gains in social skills) and C1 (children showing substantial gains in using

### Strategies (Who is responsible/timeline/evaluation):

If we hypothesize that it is difficult to evaluate progress without a full quarter’s data for comparison, then we need to evaluate progress toward these outcomes when data from October-December are available.
**2nd Quarter QIP**  
**Cluster:** G  
**Quarter Date:** 3/15/15  
**Indicator:** 3

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>appropriate behaviors to meet their needs</strong> were not met. Increases were made in 4 of the 6 indicators, while the data show decreases in 2 indicators. While there appears to have been significant improvement toward meeting all outcomes except C1 in October and November, we are hesitant to draw conclusions until at least a full quarter’s data reflecting the new scoring process are available.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**List barriers to accomplishing strategies and how to address them:**

The ongoing evaluation of this outcome has been a challenge in part due to the timing and format of the data reports. Last quarter, the updated data report was received 1/26, after draft QIP’s were due. This quarter, the updated report was received 3/9 and QIP’s were due 3/16. Also, as noted above, these reports have typically reflected the cumulative, year to date data instead of having the data broken out into months or quarters. The SPOE director will ask IIDC for clarification on the expected timeline and format of reports and raise a concern about the impact on stakeholder involvement in the QIP process.

**Resources needed:**

- [ ] State Clarification  
- X IIDC  
- [ ] Training  
- [ ] Mentoring  
- [ ] Other: ______________________________

**Explain:** Above

**Stakeholder Collaboration:**

As indicated above, there was limited time for stakeholder involvement after the data report was received and prior to the QIP due date. A copy of the QIP was sent to cluster agencies on 3/16/15. Additional feedback regarding the new process for completing the Child Outcome Skill Inventory was solicited from agencies the week of 3/30/15.